UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

RICHMOND TAYL	₋OR, Sr.,	
	Plaintiff,	
VS.		CASE NO. 05-CV-74420-DT HONORABLE ARTHUR J. TARNOW UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
JANICE YATES,		ONTED OTATEO DIOTATO TODOE
	Defendant,	1

OPINION AND ORDER OF SUMMARY DISMISSAL

Plaintiff is an inmate currently confined at the Huron Valley Men's Correctional Facility in Ypsilanti, Michigan. On December 22, 2005, Magistrate Judge R. Steven Whalen signed an order of deficiency, which required plaintiff to provide a signed certification of his prison trust account from an authorized jail official and a current computerized trust fund account showing the history of the financial transactions in plaintiff's institutional trust fund account for the past six months. Alternatively, the order allowed plaintiff to pay the two hundred and fifty (\$ 250.00) dollar filing fee in full. Plaintiff was given thirty days to comply with the order. To date, plaintiff has neither paid the filing fee in full or supplied this Court with the requested information.

28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(2) requires a prisoner who wishes to proceed without prepayment of fees and costs in a civil complaint in federal court to file a certified copy of the trust fund account statement for that prisoner for the six month period immediately preceding the filing of the complaint or notice of appeal, obtained from the

appropriate official of each prison at which the prisoner is or was confined. See also McGore v. Wrigglesworth, 114 F. 3d 601, 605 (6th Cir. 1997).

If an inmate who does not pay the full filing fee fails to provide an affidavit of

indigency or a certified trust account statement, the district court must notify the prisoner

of the deficiency and the prisoner will then have thirty days from the

date of the deficiency order to correct the error or to pay the full filing fee. McGore v.

Wrigglesworth, 114 F. 3d at 605. If the inmate fails to comply with the district court's

directions, "[t]he district court must presume that the prisoner is not a pauper and

assess the inmate the full amount of fees." Id. The district court must then order that

the case be dismissed for want of prosecution. Id. If the case is dismissed under these

circumstances, it is not to be reinstated to the district court's active docket despite the

subsequent payment of filing fees. Id.

Accordingly, the Court **DISMISSES** the complaint under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(1)

and (b)(1) and (2) for failure to comply with the filing requirements of the Prison

Litigation Reform Act.

s/Arthur J. Tarnow

Arthur J. Tarnow

United States District Judge

Dated: February 24, 2006

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing document was served upon counsel of

record on February 24, 2006, by electronic and/or ordinary mail.

s/Catherine A. Pickles

Judicial Secretary

2